

Recitation 8

Tuesday October 5, 2021

1 Recap

1.1 Orthogonality of Vectors

Let u and v be vectors of the same dimension. We say u and v are *orthogonal* iff their angle is 90° , or equivalently $u \cdot v = u^\top v = v^\top u = 0$.

In addition, we say that a set of vectors $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ is *pairwise orthogonal* iff v_i and v_j are orthogonal for any $i \neq j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. A set of pairwise orthogonal (nonzero) vectors is always linearly independent.

A set of vectors $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ is *pairwise orthonormal* if it is pairwise orthogonal, and each u_i is a unit vector.

1.2 Orthogonality of Subspaces

Two subspaces U and V of $\mathbb{R}^n$ are *orthogonal* if $u \cdot v = 0$ for all $u \in U$ and $v \in V$. In addition, it follows that $\dim U + \dim V \leq n$.

1.3 Orthogonal Complement of Subspaces

Given a subspace V , its *orthogonal complement* $V^\perp$ is defined as:

$$V^\perp = \{w : w \cdot v = 0 \text{ for any } v \in V\}.$$

Intuitively, $V^\perp$ is the largest subspace that is orthogonal to V . Some important properties include

1. $\dim V + \dim V^\perp = n$
2. $(V^\perp)^\perp = V$

1.4 Decomposition

Theorem 1 Let $V, W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ are orthogonal complements – that is $V = W^\perp$ and $W = V^\perp$. Then every vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ has a unique decomposition $x = v + w$ where $v \in V$ and $w \in W$. In addition, it follows that $v \cdot w = 0$.

1.5 Some Familiar Orthogonal Complements

We have already seen and worked on orthogonal complements, but we just didn't realize that they are!

Theorem 2 $N(A)$ and $C(A^\top)$ are orthogonal complements in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Similarly, $C(A)$ and $N(A^\top)$ are orthogonal complements in $\mathbb{R}^m$.

In relation to Theorem 1, we can plug in $V = N(A)$ and $W = C(A^\top)$ and derive the following result.

Theorem 3 Suppose that we are given a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. Any vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ can be written uniquely as $v = v_1 + v_2$ where $v_1 \in N(A)$ and $v_2 \in C(A^\top)$.

1.6 Relationship to Projection

Suppose that we want to project a vector v onto a *unit* vector w , then the projection is

$$\text{proj}_w v = (v \cdot w) w.$$

We note that $v \cdot w$ is a scalar – which ensures that the projection is on w .

In general cases where w is not necessarily a unit vector, we have

$$\text{proj}_w v = \left(\frac{v \cdot w}{\|w\|^2} \right) w.$$

1.7 Gram-Schmidt

Let's suppose that we have a set $\mathcal{V} = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ of linearly independent vectors. Our goal is to transform it into a set of orthonormal vectors $\mathcal{W}$.

Algorithm 1 GRAM-SCHMIDT

Input: a set $\mathcal{V} = \{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$ of linearly independent vectors

$w_1 := \text{normalize}(v_1)$

$w_2 := \text{normalize}(v_2 - \text{proj}_{w_1} v_2)$

$w_3 := \text{normalize}(v_3 - \text{proj}_{w_1} v_3 - \text{proj}_{w_2} v_3)$

...

$w_k := \text{normalize} \left(v_k - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \text{proj}_{w_i} v_k \right)$

Output $\mathcal{W} = \{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$

One crucial property is that $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{W}$ span the same subspace. In other words, if we are given a subspace $\mathcal{S}$ which is the span of basis $\mathcal{V}$, we can use Gram-Schmidt to derive its orthonormal basis $\mathcal{W}$ – meaning that $\mathcal{W}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{S}$ and is orthonormal.

2 Exercises

1. Among the following six 3-dimensional vectors, which pairs are orthogonal?

$$a = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, b = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -6 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}, c = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, d = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, e = \begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ -2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, f = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

2. Denote a subspace $V = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix} : 2v_1 + 3v_3 + 5v_5 = 0 \right\}$. Find $V^\perp$.

3. Suppose that we have a subspace $\mathcal{S}$ with an orthonormal basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$. By the definition of basis, any vector $v \in \mathcal{S}$ can be expressed as

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i v_i = \alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_k v_k$$

for some constants $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$. Determine each α_j in terms of $v_1, \dots, v_k$ and v . Will the same derivation work if it not for the orthogonality of $\{v_1, \dots, v_k\}$?

4. Suppose that a set of vectors $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ generates a subspace $\mathcal{S}$. In other words, $\mathcal{S} = \text{Span}\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. Describe a procedure to derive an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{S}$.
5. In this problem, we will explore the effect of ordering on the Gram-Schmidt algorithm. Denote

$$u_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, u_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, u_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, v_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for which each $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ and $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ is a set of three linearly independent vectors. Moreover, the two sets $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ and $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ are identical, but are in different orders. This means both sets are bases of the same subspace $\mathcal{S}$.

- Perform Gram-Schmidt on $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ to derive an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{S}$.
- Perform Gram-Schmidt on $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ to derive an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{S}$.
- Each of the answer to the previous parts is an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{S}$. Are they identical? What can we conclude about the effect of order to the Gram-Schmidt?