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## 1 The Ordinals

### 1.1 How We'll Get to the Ordinals

Ordering $\rightarrow$ Total Ordering $\rightarrow$ Well-Ordering $\rightarrow$ Well-Order Type $\rightarrow$ Ordinal

### 1.2 Orderings

Think of $x<y$ as meaning " $x$ precedes $y$ ". We say that $<$ is an ordering on set $A$ if and only if for any $a, b, c \in A$ :

Asymmetry If $a<b$, then not- $(b<a)$.
Transitivity If $a<b$ and $b<c$, then $a<c$.

### 1.3 Total Orderings

A total ordering $<$ on $A$ is an ordering on $A$ such that for any distinct elements $a, b$ of $A$ :

Totality $a<b$ or $b<a$

### 1.4 Well-Orderings

A well-ordering $<$ of $A$ is a total ordering on $A$ such that:
Well-Ordering Every non-empty subset $S$ of $A$ has a $<$-smallest member.

### 1.5 Well-order types

The orderings $<_{1}$ and $<_{2}$ are of the same type if they are isomorphic.*

[^0]
### 1.6 The First Few Ordinals

| ordinal | name of ordinal | well-order type represented |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\}$ | 0 |  |
| $\{0\}$ | $0^{\prime}$ | $\mid$ |
| $\left\{0,0^{\prime}\right\}$ | $0^{\prime \prime}$ | $\\|$ |
| $\left\{0,0^{\prime}, 0^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ | $0^{\prime \prime \prime}$ | $\\|\\|$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |  |
| $\left\{0,0^{\prime}, 0^{\prime \prime}, 0^{\prime \prime \prime}, \ldots\right\}$ | $\omega$ | $\\|\\| \ldots$ |
| $\left\{0,0^{\prime}, 0^{\prime \prime}, 0^{\prime \prime \prime}, \ldots, \omega\right\}$ | $\omega^{\prime}$ | $\\|\\| \ldots \mid$ |
| $\left\{0,0^{\prime}, 0^{\prime \prime}, 0^{\prime \prime \prime}, \ldots, \omega, \omega^{\prime}\right\}$ | $\omega^{\prime \prime}$ | $\\|\\|\ldots\\|$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |

### 1.7 Constructing the Ordinals

Construction Principle At each stage, we introduce a new ordinal, namely: the set of all ordinals that have been introduced at previous stages.

Open-Endedness Principle However many stages have occurred, there is always a "next" stage, that is, a first stage after every stage considered so far. ${ }^{\dagger}$

### 1.8 Ordering the Ordinals

The ordinals are well-ordered by the following precedence relation:

$$
\alpha<_{o} \beta \leftrightarrow_{d f} \alpha \in \beta
$$

### 1.9 Representing Well-Order Types

Since every ordinal is a set of ordinals, the elements of an ordinal are always well-ordered by $<_{o}$. So we may set forth the following:

Representation Principle Each ordinal represents the well-order type that it itself instantiates under $<_{o}$.

### 1.10 Some Definitions

- $\alpha^{\prime}=\alpha \cup\{\alpha\}$
- A successor ordinal is an ordinal $\alpha$ such that $\alpha=\beta^{\prime}$ for some $\beta$.
- A limit ordinal is an ordinal that is not a successor ordinal.

[^1]
## 2 Ordinal Addition

The intuitive idea: A well-ordering of type $(\alpha+\beta)$ is the result of starting with a wellordering of type $\alpha$ and appending a well-ordering of type $\beta$ at the end.
Formally:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha+0=\alpha \\
& \alpha+\beta^{\prime}=(\alpha+\beta)^{\prime} \\
& \alpha+\lambda=\bigcup\{\alpha+\beta: \beta<\lambda\}(\lambda \text { a limit ordinal })
\end{aligned}
$$

- Ordinal addition is associative: $(\alpha+\beta)+\gamma=\alpha+(\beta+\gamma)$.
- Ordinal addition is not commutative: it is not generally the case that $\alpha+\beta=\beta+\alpha$.


## 3 Ordinal Multiplication

The intuitive idea: A well-ordering of type $(\alpha \times \beta)$ is the result of starting with a wellordering of type $\beta$ and replacing each position in the ordering with a well-ordering of type $\alpha$.

Formally:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha \times 0=0 \\
& \alpha \times \beta^{\prime}=(\alpha \times \beta)+\alpha \\
& \alpha \times \lambda=\bigcup\{\alpha \times \beta: \beta<\lambda\}(\lambda \text { a limit ordinal })
\end{aligned}
$$

- Ordinal multiplication is associative: $(\alpha \times \beta) \times \gamma=\alpha \times(\beta \times \gamma)$.
- Ordinal multiplication is not commutative: it is not generally the case that $\alpha \times \beta=$ $\beta \times \alpha$.


## 4 Some Additional Operations

- Exponentiation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha^{0}=0^{\prime} \\
& \alpha^{\beta^{\prime}}=\left(\alpha^{\beta}\right) \times \alpha \\
& \alpha^{\lambda}=\bigcup\left\{\alpha^{\beta}: \beta<\lambda\right\}(\lambda \text { a limit ordinal })
\end{aligned}
$$

- Tetration:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }^{0} \alpha & =0^{\prime} \\
\beta^{\prime} \alpha & =\left({ }^{\beta} \alpha\right)^{\alpha} \\
{ }^{\lambda} \alpha & =\bigcup\left\{{ }^{\beta} \alpha: \beta<\lambda\right\}(\lambda \text { a limit ordinal })
\end{aligned}
$$

- And so forth...
Some Additional Ordinals



## 6 A Visualization ${ }^{\ddagger}$



## 7 Ordinal Precedence v. Cardinal Precedence

We have discussed two different precedence relations, $<_{0}$ and $<$ :

- $<_{o}$ is the precedence relation for ordinals.
$\alpha<_{o} \beta$ means that $\alpha$ precedes $\beta$ in the hierarchy of ordinals.

[^2]- < is an ordering of set-cardinality.
$|A|<|B|$ means that there is an injection from $A$ to $B$ (but no bijection).
Important: $\alpha<_{o} \beta$ does not entail $|\alpha|<|\beta|$.


## 8 Ordinals as Blueprints for Large Sets

- An ordinal can be used as a "blueprint" for a sequence of applications of the power set and union operations.
- The farther up an ordinal is in the hierarchy of ordinals, the longer the sequence, and the greater the cardinality of the end result.

Specifically, each ordinal $\alpha$ can be used to characterize the set $\mathfrak{B}_{\alpha}$ :

$$
\mathfrak{B}_{\alpha}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbb{N}, \text { if } \alpha=0 \\
\wp\left(\mathfrak{B}_{\beta}\right), \text { if } \alpha=\beta^{\prime} \\
\bigcup\left\{\mathfrak{B}_{\gamma}: \gamma<_{o} \alpha\right\} \text { if } \alpha \text { is a limit ordinal (other than } 0 \text { ) }
\end{array}\right.
$$

## 9 Later Ordinals, Bigger Cardinalities

- By Cantor's Theorem: if $\alpha<_{o} \beta$, then $\left|\mathfrak{B}_{\alpha}\right|<\left|\mathfrak{B}_{\beta}\right|$.
- For instance:

$$
\omega<_{o}(\omega \times \omega)<_{o} \omega^{\omega}<_{o}{ }^{\omega} \omega \text {. So: }\left|\mathfrak{B}_{\omega}\right|<\left|\mathfrak{B}_{\omega \times \omega}\right|<\left|\mathfrak{B}_{\omega^{\omega}}\right|<\left|\mathfrak{B}_{\omega}\right| \text {. }
$$

## 10 Initial Ordinals

- Initial ordinal: an ordinal that precedes all other ordinals of the same cardinality.
- An initial ordinal $\kappa$ can be used as proxy for its own cardinality: $\kappa=|\kappa|$.


## 11 The Beth Hierarchy

- $\beth_{\alpha}($ read "beth-alpha" $)$ is the initial ordinal of cardinality $\left|\mathfrak{B}_{\alpha}\right|$.
- So: $\beth_{\alpha}=\left|\mathfrak{B}_{\alpha}\right|$.
- $\beth_{0}=|\mathbb{N}|$ and $\beth_{0^{\prime}}=|\wp(\mathbb{N})|$ (so $\beth_{0^{\prime}}$ is an uncountable ordinal).

Since the beths are ordinals, they can be used to define sets bigger than anything we've considered so far. For instance:

- $\mathfrak{B}_{\beth_{0^{\prime}}}\left(\right.$ where $\left.\beth_{0^{\prime}}=|\wp(\mathbb{N})|\right)$
- $\mathfrak{B}_{\beth^{\omega}}\left(\right.$ where $\left.\beth_{\beth_{\omega}}=\left|\mathfrak{B}_{\beth_{\omega}}\right|\right)$


## 12 The Continuum Hypothesis

Continuum Hypothesis There is no set $A$ such that $\beth_{0}<|A|<\beth_{1}$.
Generalized CH There is no set $A$ such that $\beth_{\alpha}<|A|<\beth_{\alpha+1}$.

## 13 The Burali-Forti Paradox

Suppose, for reductio, that $\Omega$ is the set of all ordinals. Then:

- Since $\Omega$ consists of every ordinal, it consists of every ordinal that's been introduced so far. But a new ordinal is just the set every ordinal that's been introduced so far. So: $\Omega$ is an ordinal.
- If $\Omega$ was itself an ordinal, it would be a member of itself (and therefore have itself as a predecessor). But no ordinal can be its own predecessor. So: $\Omega$ is not an ordinal.

So there is no set of all ordinals!


[^0]:    ${ }^{*}$ Let $<_{1}$ be an ordering on $A$ and $<_{2}$ be an ordering on $B$. Then $<_{1}$ is isomorphic to $<_{2}$ if and only if there is a bijection $f$ from $A$ to $B$ such that, for every $x$ and $y$ in $A, x<_{1} y$ if and only if $f(x)<_{2} f(y)$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\dagger}$ It is important to interpret the Open-Endedness Principle as entailing that there is no such thing as "all" stages-and therefore deliver the result that there is no such thing as "all" ordinals.

[^2]:    $\ddagger$ Source: https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Omega-exp-omega-labeled.svg. File made available on Wikimedia under the Creative Commons CC0 1.0 Universal Public Domain Dedication. Pop-up casket (talk); original by User:Fool [CC0].

